

### DSA Candidate Scoring Criteria

	A	B	C	D	F
Abolish ICE	Abolish ICE with clear language to remove the institution because of how it unjustly affects minority communities. Clear language on clawing back funding and reappropriating it. And a call for mass amnesty or immigration reform that has immigrant's humanity as a core tenet.	"Abolish ICE" - calls for removing the institution wholesale with no plan on reform.	"Defund ICE" or similar - no clear goal other than following the general social trend.	"Immigration reform", "Reform ICE", or other related advocacy.	Pro ICE, or no stance.
Medicare for All	<p><b>1</b> - Healthcare reform under a single, <b>free</b> system (call it whatever but it must be free to the end user)</p> <p><b>2</b> - Removing healthcare middlemen/insurance companies</p> <p><b>3</b> - Full coverage with health and humanity of the people these healthcare systems are supposed to serve</p> <p><b>4</b> - Full acknowledgement of the failings of the current system and a roadmap to address it</p>	3/4 of the policy stances while calling for a universal healthcare system.	2/4 of the policy stances while calling for a universal healthcare system.	Saying "free universal healthcare" and nothing else or 2/4 of the stances without calling for a universal healthcare system.	- "Affordable healthcare", "expanding ACA", or "private option" - A proven moneyed interests or lobbying by the healthcare industry. - No stance.
Free Palestine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Express support without equivocation for at least 3 of the 4 DSA National Program priorities for Palestine during the current campaign.</li> <li>- Campaign website and social media provide clear statements of the candidate's positions on Palestine.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Express support for one or more DSA National Program priorities for Palestine during the current campaign.</li> <li>- History of DSA-aligned public remarks on Palestine and the undue influence of the Israel lobby, especially its efforts to stop progressive and</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Foreign policy statements on website or social media demonstrate clear support for human rights, conditioning aid and military sales, ending imperialism, or upholding international law.</li> </ul>	Some evidence that the candidate recognizes the humanity of Palestinians and would support changes to the US-Israel relationship if elected.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Silent on Palestinian oppression.</li> <li>- Accepts campaign donations from pro-Israel PACs or out-of-state individual donors.</li> </ul>

		socialist policies.	- Express support for Palestinian rights beyond humanitarian aid. - Publicly refuse to accept pro-Israel PAC money.		
End the War Machine	Both: -Anti-Imperialist and -Anti-interventionist -Formal acknowledgement of the profit motive behind the US military -Firm stance against further funding -"Peace through peace"	Either: -Anti-Imperialist or -Anti-Interventionist -Limit/consolidate foreign bases -Do a comprehensive audit of the military	-“Bring the troops home” -Formal acknowledgement of moving funding away from the military industrial complex back home	-No war with Iran -Pro-War Powers Resolution -Any further funding ONLY to go to veterans or active service members, not weaponry or for-profit industries.	-Pro-Iran War -Interventionist -Expand military funding -Pro-Israel -Pro-NATO -Or no stance against ANY of the previous items. -"Strong military".
Working class democracy	4 out of following 5: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Money out of politics,</li> <li>● extend voting rights,</li> <li>● representative congress,</li> <li>● popular vote for president,</li> <li>● reduce supreme court power</li> </ul>	3 out of the 5	2 out of the 5 - Strong express support for democracy proposals that are in same overall spirit as DSA positions	1 out of 5, or 0 out of 5 with some kind of focus on anti-corruption, transparency, etc.	No stance or record of suppressing democracy.